

RECEIVED
 APR 14 2005
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States District Court
 for the Northern District of California

Frederick M Shea
 (Petitioner)

Case no:

02-0451 PSH (PR)

1:02CV149

VSOC - Ohio, Et, Al
 (Respondents)

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHEAST DISTRICT OHIO
 WEST DIV CINCINNATI

05 APR 25 AM 11:10

JAMES BURRILL
 CLERK

Petition of Extortion, ^{etc.} Again

Whereas, petitioner, robbed, etc, by the State
 of Ohio, Dept of Taxation and Hamilton County, etc
 for which, petitioner, does have a phone number, etc!

Whereby, petitioner, stating these above are already
 in the Pet. for Habeas Corpus Writ

Now, petitioner, doesn't take being robbed, etc,
 lightly, especially when worked in a Blue Collar
 environment, injured, not leaving out, already
 won matter(s), as to, these offenses! 18 USC 2340 est.

Physical, Mental, States forced Mediation! And not the crim, real
 crim still awaiting for those to be awarded! State Law 2913.01,
 2921.04 (143) est, etc! By force, etc. Jurisdiction! PD Arrest.

Puts a meaning to Kicken someone's butt & Malpractice!
 Mean & Harm is caused by whom! State Law 2917.01 est, etc.

Whereas now, est the 18 USC 1951, (b), per United States v Cipriani (1994, ED NY), which still applies in this case(s) per 1995, etc. Old Cypin. Laws, petitioner is covered by. Meaning, new offenses are still old. Like, when's the past.
Gusam v United States

Whereby now, per the 18 USC 924, 2113, and the detention, petitioner can only state, nothing others still don't cut it, ^{too} while, in this case, who are those Extorting for, as, name is not right, and identity theft is est! United States v Birnby (Cano, Kross)

Now therefore, extorting, etc, from Petitioner per matters of, kids are suppose to be mine and in my custody since 1995, which, I haven't seen or spoke to since 1995, as, I don't deal with criminals, only in a Habeas Corpus (Pet) petition! All Fed & Civil Rt claims, etc, of Conspiracy, of those working for a Killer, etc, are established! Sher v Sher (722 F.2d 95-5037, etc), Parents v Terry Schiavo (2004), Rt to put a past in the past!

Whereas, also, cheated on Ohio Tax ^{payments} payment! As what is Double Taxation any other ways! Ohio use tax, oir!

Whereby now, per the words, no oral argument needed, less, the extra-paperwork, petitions, adding offenses, etc, to, Habeas Corpus petition! Not leaving out, even if, the Disabilities Act of 1993 still applies to this day! Went through death, and already injured! *NACED v Metropolitan Council* (case, 1998), and not a Mental, ~~etc~~, cause an action, etc! (Absolute Bar). Est, MEAN & HARM of Respondents! 180525 1515^{etc} est!

Now therefore, also stating, this is not new (exc) as, extends, back to 1995, etc! Same Preclusion along time ago!

Whereas now, also, these assoc^{new} didn't have to pay support, per offense in 2001, 2002, as had to show Orders to Receive Free Killer Medication!

Fredrick M. Sora
(Petitioner)
1052 Morado Dr
Cint, Ohio 45238
(513) 451-8588

Certificate of Service

*I JJ certify that W/s. New Preclusion
along time ago!*

JJ